UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/759,817	01/16/2004	George Garrity	MSU-08334	8033	
23535 MEDLEN & CA	7590 01/20/201 ARROLL, LLP	EXAMINER			
101 HOWARD		ZEMAN, MARY K			
SUITE 350 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/20/2010	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/759,817	GARRITY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mary K. Zeman	1631			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Pasnonsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Or	stoher 2000				
· ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 October 2009</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b>					
2a)⊠ 3)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
ا ال						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-27 is/are pending in the a	application.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 17-27</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
٥,١	and duspool to roomens and an area	olocion roquirollolli.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	nte			

## DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-13 and 17-27 are pending in this application. Claims 25-27 are newly added.

Applicants' amendments and arguments filed 10/2/09 have been carefully considered, but are not completely persuasive.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-13 and 17-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant has amended the claims and provided arguments in regards to the previous rejection under 35 USC 101.

Applicant has previously amended the method of claim 1 to comprise a processor configured to provide a service software. This is not clearly a specific machine or apparatus as required. This limitation appears to require a general purpose processor that can receive software from a third party which does not meet the requirement of a specific machine or apparatus. The amendment regarding "resolving" does not obviate this rejection.

Bilski: "The Supreme Court has enunciated a definitive test to determine whether a process claim is tailored narrowly enough to encompass only a particular application of a fundamental principle rather than to pre-empt the principle itself. A claimed process is surely patent-eligible under § 101 if: (1) it is tied to a particular machine or apparatus, or (2) it transforms a particular article into a different state or thing. See Benson, 409 U.S. at 70; Diehr, 450 U.S. at 192; see also Flook, 437 U.S. at 589 n.9; Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780, 788 (1876)."

While the method claim recites providing a processor, this processor does not appear to be a *specific* machine or apparatus. The processor is not used to perform the later method steps,

Art Unit: 1631

and appears to provide third party service software that is not critical to the practice of the invention.

As set forth in Bilski: "Certain considerations are applicable to analysis under either branch. First, as illustrated by Benson, the use of a specific machine or transformation of an article must impose meaningful limits on the claim's scope to impart patent-eligibility. See Benson, 409 U.S. at 71-72. Second, the involvement of the machine or transformation in the claimed process must not merely be insignificant extra-solution activity. See Flook, 437 U.S. at 590."

The method of claim 1 does not provide a transformation of matter.

With regard to claim 17, while the claims recites a system comprising a processor and software for implementing the method of claim 1, said processor and software are not sufficient to meet the machine or transformation test for the reasons cited for claim 1.

With regard to claim 18, this method fails to meet any part of the machine or transformation test. Claim 18 is drawn to a method of providing services through providing data objects, providing unique identifiers, making said identifiers accessible and routing users to third party information. The method is not linked to any specific machine or apparatus. Use of a general purpose processor "via the service software" is not a tie to a specific machine or apparatus.

The method does not provide a transformation of matter. With regard to the services or third party links, Bilski provides the following: "Purported transformations or manipulations simply of public or private legal obligations or relationships, business risks, or other such abstractions cannot meet the test because they are not physical objects or substances, and they are not representative of physical objects or substances."

New claim 25 also does not meet the machine or transformation test as required. The same issues present in claims 1, 17, and 18 persist in this claim.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary K Zeman whose telephone number is (571) 272 0723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marjie Moran can be reached on (571) 272 0720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273 8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also

Application/Control Number: 10/759,817 Page 5

Art Unit: 1631

enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

/Mary K Zeman/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631